The multiple facets of measurement compatibility in GPTs

Ion Nechita (CNRS, LPT Toulouse) — joint work with Andreas Bluhm and Anna Jenčová arXiv:2011.06497

QIF Seminar, November 26th, 2020





Compatibility in GPTs

Positivity & tensor products

Generalized spectrahedra

Tensor norms & applications



Martha Mayer Erlebacher - Three-Faced Figure

Compatibility in GPTs

General Probabilistic Theories



• A GPT is a triple $(V, V^+, \mathbb{1})$, where V is a vector space, $V^+ \subseteq V$ is a cone, and $\mathbb{1}$ is a linear form on V; $A = V^*$, $A^+ = (V^+)^*$, and $\mathbb{1} \in A^+$

• The set of states $K := V^+ \cap \mathbb{1}^{-1}(\{1\})$

Measurements and compatibility

- A GPT measurement with k outcomes is an affine map $K \to \Delta_k$
- A g-tuple of GPT measurements with $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_g)$ outcomes are encoded in an affine map $K \to \Delta_{k_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{k_g} =: P_{\mathbf{k}}$ (the polysimplex)



Measurements f = (f⁽¹⁾,..., f^(g)) are compatible if there exists a joint measurement g having k₁ ··· k_g outcomes such that

$$\forall x \in [g], \quad \forall i \in [k_x], \qquad f_i^{(x)} = \sum_{j \in [k_1] \times \cdots \times [k_g] : j(x) = i} g_j$$



Compatibility with diagrams



• Take duals and linearize!



Positivity & tensor products

Bipartite systems in GPTs

- Consider two GPTs (V_A, V⁺_A, 1_A) and (V_B, V⁺_B, 1_B). Joint system AB?
 (V_A ⊗ V_B, ???, 1_A ⊗ 1_B)
- Minimal tensor product cone

$$V_A^+ \otimes_{\min} V_B^+ := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \otimes b_i : a_i \in V_A^+, b_i \in V_B^+ \right\}$$

Maximal tensor product cone

$$V_A^+ \otimes_{\mathsf{max}} V_B^+ := \left((V_A^+)^* \otimes_{\mathsf{min}} (V_B^+)^* \right)^*$$

A tensor cone is anything in between

$$V_A^+ \otimes_{\min} V_B^+ \subseteq C \subseteq V_A^+ \otimes_{\max} V_B^+$$

- In general, a linear map $\Phi : A \to B$ is positive if its associated tensor $\varphi \in A^* \otimes B$ is an element of $(A^+)^* \otimes_{\max} B^+$
- Question: What is $PSD_{d_A} \otimes_{\min} PSD_{d_B}$? How about $PSD_{d_A} \otimes_{\max} PSD_{d_B}$

Measurements as positive maps

We consider g measurements $f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(g)}$ having k_1, \ldots, k_g outcomes:

$$\forall x \in [g], \quad \forall i \in [k_x], \ f_i^{(x)} \in A^+ \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{k_x} f_i^{(x)} = \mathbb{1}$$

Proposition

The following are equivalent

① The tuple
$$f = (f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(g)})$$
 consists of GPT measurements

2 The following map is positive

$$egin{aligned} \Phi^{(f)} &: (E_{\mathbf{k}}, E^+_{\mathbf{k}}) o (A, A^+) \ && \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto \mathbb{1} \ && \eta^{(x)}_i \mapsto f^{(x)}_i \end{aligned}$$

3 The associated tensor $\varphi^{(f)} \in (E^+_{\mathbf{k}})^* \otimes_{\max} A^+$

$$\eta_i^{(x)} = \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{x-1 \text{ times}} \otimes e_i \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}}_{g-x \text{ times}} \qquad x \in [g], \ i \in [k_x - 1]$$

Compatible measurements as entanglement breaking maps

Theorem

The following are equivalent

- The tuple $f = (f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(g)})$ consists of compatible GPT meas.
- **2** The map $\Phi^{(f)}$ admits a positive extension $\tilde{\Phi}^{(f)} : (\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k_+) \to (A, A^+)$
- **3** The map $\Phi^{(f)}$ is entanglement breaking
- **4** The associated tensor $\varphi^{(f)} \in (E_{\mathbf{k}}^+)^* \otimes_{\min} A^+$

Definition

A positive map $\Phi : (C, C^+) \rightarrow (D, D^+)$ is called entanglement breaking if any of the following equivalent conditions holds

- For all (L, L^+) , $\Phi \otimes id_L : C^+ \otimes_{max} L^+ \to D^+ \otimes_{min} L^+$ is positive
- The condition above holds for $(L, L^+) = (D^*, (D^+)^*)$
- The associated tensor $arphi \in (\mathcal{C}^+)^* \otimes_{\min} D^+$

Remark

If g = 1, $(E_{(k)}^+)^*$ is simplicial $\implies (E_{(k)}^+)^* \otimes_{\min} A^+ = (E_{(k)}^+)^* \otimes_{\max} A^+$

Generalized spectrahedra

Free spectrahedra

• A polyhedron is defined as the intersection of half-spaces

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^g : \langle h_i, x \rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall i \in [k]\}$$



• A spectrahedron is given by PSD constraints: for $A = (A_1, \dots, A_g) \in (M_d^{sa})^g$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^g : \sum_{i=1}^g x_i A_i \leq I_d\}$$



- Question: What is $\mathcal{D}_{(\sigma_X,\sigma_Y,\sigma_Z)}$?
- A free spectrahedron is the matricization of a spectrahedron

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(n) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(n) := \{X \in (\mathcal{M}_n^{sa})^g : \sum_{i=1}^g X_i \otimes A_i \leq I_{nd}\}$$

Compatibility in QM via free spectrahedra

• The matrix diamond is the free spectrahedron defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\diamondsuit,g} := \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ X \in (\mathcal{M}_n^{sa})^g : \sum_{i=1}^g \varepsilon_i X_i \le I_n, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^g \}$$

To a g-tuple of self-adjoint matrices f ∈ (M^{sa}_d)^g, we associate the free spectrahedron defined by the matrices 2f_i − I_d:

$$\mathcal{D}_f := \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ X \in (\mathcal{M}_n^{sa})^g : \sum_{i=1}^g X_i \otimes (2f_i - I_d) \le I_{nd} \}$$

Theorem

- The matrices f are quantum effects $\iff \mathcal{D}_{\diamondsuit,g}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_f(1)$
- The matrices f are compatible quantum effects $\iff \mathcal{D}_{\diamondsuit,g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_f$
- The general (non-dichotomic) version is similar \rightsquigarrow matrix jewel $\mathcal{D}_{igodoldsymbol{(k)},\mathbf{k}}$

Compatibility in GPTs via generalized spectrahedra

Consider two ordered vector spaces (M, M⁺), (L, L⁺), and a tensor cone
 C on M ⊗ L. A tuple a ∈ M^g defines a generalized spectrahedron

$$\mathcal{D}_{a}(L,C):=\{v\in L^{g}\,:\,\sum_{i=1}^{g}a_{i}\otimes v_{i}\in C\}$$

- The GPT jewel is induced by $E_{\mathbf{k}}^+$ (*w* are some elements related to the η) $\mathcal{D}_{\text{GPT}}(\mathbf{k}; L, L^+) := \mathcal{D}_w(L, E_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \otimes_{\max} L^+)$
- Shifted versions of GPT elements induce a generalized spectrahedron

$$\mathcal{D}_f(L,L^+) := \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{f}}(L,A^+ \otimes_{\min} L^+)$$

Theorem

- The elements f are GPT meas. $\iff \mathcal{D}_{GPT} \oplus (\mathbf{k}; \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$
- The elements f are compatible $\iff \mathcal{D}_{GPT}(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_f(V, V^+)$

Compatibility regions and inclusion constants

Noisy version of GPT measurements (white noise)

$$(s.f)_i^{(x)} = s_x f_i^{(x)} + (1 - s_x) rac{1}{k_x}$$

• The set of noise parameters *s* rendering all measurements compatible is called the compatibility region

 $\mathsf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+) := \{ s \in [0, 1]^g : f \text{ measurements } \implies s.f \text{ compatible} \}$

• Symmetric version: the compatbility degree

$$\gamma(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+) := \max\{s : (s, s, \dots, s) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+)\}$$

• The inclusion constants for the GPT jewel

$$\begin{split} \Delta(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+) &:= \left\{ s \in [0, 1]^g \ : \ \forall a_i^{(\times)} \in A, \ \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{GPT} \bigoplus}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+) \\ \implies (1, s_1^{\times (k_1 - 1)}, \dots, s_g^{\times (k_g - 1)}) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{GPT} \bigoplus}(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}(V, V^+) \right\} \end{split}$$

Theorem

For all GPTs and all **k**, we have $\Gamma(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+) = \Delta(\mathbf{k}; V, V^+)$

Tensor norms & applications

Injective and projective tensor norms

Definition

Consider *m* Banach spaces A_1, \ldots, A_m . For a tensor $x \in A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_m$, we define its projective tensor norm

$$\|x\|_{\pi} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{r} \|a_{k}^{1}\| \cdots \|a_{k}^{m}\| : a_{k}^{i} \in A_{i}, x = \sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k}^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k}^{m} \right\}$$

and its injective tensor norm

$$\|x\|_{\varepsilon} := \sup\left\{ |\langle \alpha^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha^m, x \rangle| \, : \, \alpha^i \in A^*_i, \, \|\alpha^i\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

• The projective and injective norms are examples of tensor norms (aka reasonable cross-norms):

$$\|a^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a^{m}\|_{\pi} = \|a^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a^{m}\|_{\varepsilon} = \|a^{1}\|\cdots\|a^{m}\|$$
$$\alpha^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha^{m}\|_{\pi^{*}} = \|\alpha^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha^{m}\|_{\varepsilon^{*}} = \|\alpha^{1}\|_{*}\cdots\|\alpha^{m}\|_{\varepsilon^{*}}$$

• For any other tensor norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $A_1\otimes\cdots\otimes A_m$, we have

$$\forall x \in A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_m, \qquad \|x\|_{\varepsilon} \le \|x\| \le \|x\|_{\pi}$$

• The injective and projective norms are dual to each other

Operator and nuclear norms

• For an operator $X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$, the operator norm (or the Schatten ∞ norm) is defined as

$$\|X\|_{S^d_{\infty}} = \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\| \le 1} |\langle a, Xb \rangle|$$

• Seeing X as a 2-tensor $\tilde{X} \in \ell_2^d \otimes \ell_2^d$, we have

$$\|X\|_{S^d_{\infty}} = \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\| \le 1} |\langle a \otimes b, \tilde{X} \rangle| = \|\tilde{X}\|_{\ell^d_2 \otimes_{\varepsilon} \ell^d_2}$$

• The nuclear norm of X (or the Schatten 1 norm) is dual to the operator norm, so we have

$$\|X\|_{S_1^d} = \|\tilde{X}\|_{\ell_2^d \otimes_\pi \ell_2^d}$$

• This can be seen directly from the SVD: $\|X\|_{S_1^d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i$ for

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_i |a_i\rangle \langle b_i| \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \tilde{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_i a_i \otimes b_i$$

for non-negative σ_i and orthonormal bases $\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}$

Compatibility and tensor norms

 We shall only consider here the case of dichotomic measurements and centrally symmetric GPTs: K is the unit ball of a norm || · ||_V

$$V = \mathbb{R}v_0 \oplus \overline{V}$$
 and $A = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} \oplus \overline{A}$

Theorem

For dichotomic measurements in centrally symmetric GPTs, we have

$$\gamma(2^{\times g}; V, V^+) = 1/\rho(\ell_{\infty}^g, \bar{A})$$

where the quantity ρ was introduced in [Aubrun et al '20]

$$\rho(X, Y) = \max_{z \in X \otimes Y} \frac{\|z\|_{X \otimes_{\pi} Y}}{\|z\|_{X \otimes_{\varepsilon} Y}}$$

Proposition

In the same setting as before

$$\lim_{g\to\infty}\gamma(2^{\times g};V,V^+)=1/\pi_1(\bar{V})$$

where $\pi_1(\bar{V})$ is the 1-summing norm of the Banach space \bar{V}

Applications

• For the hypercubic GPT $\overline{V} = \ell_{\infty}^{n}$, we have $\Gamma(2^{\times g}; \ell_{\infty}^{n}) = \{s \in [0, 1]^{g} : \forall I \subseteq [g] \text{ s.t. } |I| \leq n,$ $\sum_{i \in I} s_{i} \leq 1\}$

• We have $\gamma(2^{\times g}; \ell_{\infty}^n) = 1/\min(g, n)$



- Quantum mechanics for d = 2 (qubits) is centrally symmetric: $\overline{V} = \ell_2^3$
- $\bullet\,$ It was known that, for $g=2,3,\,\gamma(2^{\times g};{\rm QM}_2)=1/\sqrt{g}$



Proposition

For all $g \ge 4$,

 $0.5 \leq \gamma(2^{ imes g}; \mathrm{QM}_2) \leq 1/\sqrt{3} pprox 0.577$

The take-home slide

