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Incompatibility in QM

## Quantum measurements. Compatibility

- Quantum states $\rightsquigarrow$ density matrices: $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C}), \rho \geq 0, \operatorname{Tr} \rho=1$
- Quantum measurements $\rightsquigarrow$ give the probabilities of the classical outcomes when a quantum state enters a measurement apparatus. Mathematically, measurements are modeled by POVMs

$$
A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in M_{d}(\mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{sa}}, \quad A_{i} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}=I_{d}
$$

## Definition

Two POVMs, $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ and $B=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{l}\right)$, are called compatible if there exists a third POVM $C=\left(C_{i j}\right)_{i \in[k], j \in[/]}$ such that

$$
\forall i \in[k], \quad A_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{\prime} C_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad \forall j \in[/], \quad B_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{i j}
$$

The definition generalizes to $g$-tuples of POVMs $A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(g)}$, having respectively $k_{1}, \ldots k_{g}$ outcomes, where the joint POVM $C$ has outcome set $\left[k_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[k_{g}\right]$.

## What does it mean?



- Compatible measurements can be simulated by a single joint measurement, by classically post-processing its outputs
- Examples:
(1) Trivial POVMs $A=\left(p_{i} I_{d}\right)$ and $B=\left(q_{j} I_{d}\right)$ are compatible
(2) Commuting POVMs $\left[A_{i}, B_{j}\right]=0$ are compatible
(3) If the POVM $A$ is projective, then $A$ and $B$ are compatible iff they commute
- Compatibility appears also in the setting of quantum channels [HMZ16]


## Noisy POVMs

- POVMs can be made compatible by adding noise, i.e. mixing in trivial POVMs
- Example: dichotomic POVMs and white noise, $s \in[0,1]$

$$
(E, I-E) \mapsto s(E, I-E)+(1-s)\left(\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l}{2}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad E \mapsto s E+(1-s) \frac{l}{2}
$$

- Taking $s=1 / 2$ suffices to render any pair of dichotomic POVMs compatible [DFK19]
$\rightsquigarrow$ define $C_{i j}:=\left(E_{i}+F_{j}\right) / 4$
- From now on, we focus on dichotomic (YES/NO) POVMs


## Definition

The compatibility region for $g$ measurements on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ is the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(g, d):= & \left\{s \in[0,1]^{g}: \text { for all quantum effects } E_{1}, \ldots, E_{g} \in \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})\right. \\
& \text { the noisy versions } \left.s_{i} E_{i}+\left(1-s_{i}\right) I_{d} / 2 \text { are compatible }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compatibility region

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(g, d):= & \left\{s \in[0,1]^{g}: \text { for all quantum effects } E_{1}, \ldots, E_{g} \in \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C}),\right. \\
& \text { the noisy versions } \left.s_{i} E_{i}+\left(1-s_{i}\right) l_{d} / 2 \text { are compatible }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The set $\Gamma(g, d)$ is convex
- For all $i \in[g], e_{i} \in \Gamma(g, d)$ : every measurement is compatible with $g-1$ trivial measurements
- For $d \geq 2,(1,1, \ldots, 1) \notin \Gamma(g, d)$ : there exist incompatible measurements

- For all $d \geq 2, \Gamma(2, d)$ is a quarter-circle [BH08, BHSS13]
- Generally speaking, the set $\Gamma(g, d)$ tells us how robust (to noise) is the incompatibility of $g$ dichotomic measurements on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$

GOAL: compute the set $\Gamma(g, d)$ for all $g, d$

Free spectrahedra

## Free spectrahedra

- A polyhedron is defined as the intersection of half-spaces

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{g}:\left\langle h_{i}, x\right\rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall i \in[k]\right\}
$$

- A spectrahedron is given by PSD constraints:

$$
\text { for } \begin{aligned}
& A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{g}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{\text {sa }}\right)^{g} \\
& \qquad \mathcal{D}_{A}(1):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{g}: \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_{i} A_{i} \leq I_{d}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



- $\mathcal{D}_{\left(\sigma_{X}, \sigma_{Y}, \sigma_{Z}\right)}(1)=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x \sigma_{X}+y \sigma_{Y}+z \sigma_{Z} \leq I_{2}\right\}=$ Bloch ball
- A free spectrahedron is the matricization of a spectrahedron [Vin14]

$$
\mathcal{D}_{A}:=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_{A}(n) \quad \text { with } \quad \mathcal{D}_{A}(n):=\left\{X \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{s a}\right)^{g}: \sum_{i=1}^{g} X_{i} \otimes A_{i} \leq I_{n d}\right\}
$$

## Examples: the cube and the diamond

The matrix cube is the free spectrahedron defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\square, g}:=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\text {sa }}\right)^{g}:\left\|X_{i}\right\| \leq 1, \quad \forall i \in[g]\right\}
$$

- At level one, $\mathcal{D}_{\square, g}(1)$ is the unit ball of the $\ell^{\infty}$ norm on $\mathbb{R}^{g}$
- As a free spectrahedron, it is defined by $2 g \times 2 g$ diagonal matrices $\mathcal{D}_{\square, g}=\mathcal{D}_{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{g}}$, with $K_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{i}\right) \oplus \operatorname{diag}\left(-e_{i}\right)$

The matrix diamond is the free spectrahedron defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}:=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{s a}\right)^{g}: \sum_{i=1}^{g} \varepsilon_{i} X_{i} \leq I_{n}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}^{g}\right\}
$$

- At level one, $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1)$ is the unit ball of the $\ell^{1}$ norm on $\mathbb{R}^{g}$
- As a free spectrahedron, it is defined by $2^{g} \times 2^{g}$ diagonal matrices $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}=\mathcal{D}_{L_{1}, \ldots, L_{g}}$, with $L_{i}=I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \operatorname{diag}(1,-1) \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2}$


## Spectrahedral inclusion

- Consider two free spectrahedra defined by $g$-tuples of matrices $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{g}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{g}\right)$
- We say that $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{B}$ and we write $\mathcal{D}_{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B}$ if, for all $n \geq 1, \mathcal{D}_{A}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B}(n)$
- Clearly, $\mathcal{D}_{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{A}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B}(1)$. For the converse implication to hold, one may need to shrink $\mathcal{D}_{A} \ldots$


## Definition

For a free spectrahedron $\mathcal{D}_{A}$, we define its set of inclusion constants as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{A}(g, d):=\left\{s \in[0,1]^{g}:\right. & \text { for all } g \text {-tuples } B_{1}, \ldots, B_{g} \in \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C})^{\text {sa }} \\
& \left.\mathcal{D}_{A}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B}(1) \Longrightarrow s \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The inclusion constants for the matrix cube play an important role in combinatorial optimization [HKMS19]
- We shall be concerned with the inclusion set for the matrix diamond, which we denote by $\Delta(g, d)$; it is the same as the one of the matrix cube [BN21]

Main results

## Compatibility in QM $\Longleftrightarrow$ matrix diamond inclusion

To a $g$-tuple of selfadjoint matrices $E \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{s a}\right)^{g}$, we associate the free spectrahedron defined by the matrices $2 E_{i}-I_{d}$ :

$$
\mathcal{D}_{2 E-I}:=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\text {sa }}\right)^{g}: \sum_{i=1}^{g} X_{i} \otimes\left(2 E_{i}-I_{d}\right) \leq I_{n d}\right\}
$$

## Theorem ([BN18])

Let $E \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{\text {sa }}\right)^{g}$ be $g$-tuple of selfadjoint matrices. Then:

- The matrices $E$ are quantum effects $\Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2 E-I}(1)$
- The matrices $E$ are compatible quantum effects $\Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2 E-1}$

At the intermediate levels $1 \leq n \leq d, \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2 E-I}(n)$ iff for all isometries $V: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$, the compressed effects $V^{*} E_{i} V$ are compatible.

Moreover, the compatibility region is equal to the set of inclusion constants of the matrix diamond: $\forall g, d, \Gamma(g, d)=\Delta(g, d)$.

The same results hold in the general (non-dichotomic) setting. One has to replace the matrix diamond by the matrix jewel $\mathcal{D}_{\oplus, \mathbf{k}}$ [BN20]

## Consequences

Many things are known about the matrix diamond

- For all $g, d, \frac{1}{2 d}(1,1, \ldots, 1) \in \Delta(g, d)$ [HКмS19]
- For all $g, d, \mathrm{QC}_{g}:=\left\{s \in[0,1]^{g}: \sum_{i} s_{i}^{2} \leq 1\right\} \subseteq \Delta(g, d)[$ PSS18]

Many things are known about (in-)compatibility

- Many small g,d cases completely solved
- Approximate quanutum cloning $[$ Kay16] $\Longrightarrow$ compatibility Clone $(g, d):=\left\{s \in[0,1]^{g}: \exists\right.$ quantum channel $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}^{\otimes g}$ s.t.

$$
\left.\forall i \in[g], \quad \Phi_{i}(X)=s_{i} X+\left(1-s_{i}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Tr} X}{d}\right\}
$$

## Theorem ([BN18])

For all $g$ and $d \geq 2^{\lceil(g-1) / 2\rceil}, \Gamma(g, d)=\Delta(g, d)=\mathrm{QC}_{g}$

Proof ideas

## Inclusion of spectrahedra and (completely) positive maps

## Theorem ([НКм13])

Let $A \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{D}^{s a}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{g}, B \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{s a}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{g}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{A}(1)$ is bounded. Then, $\mathcal{D}_{A}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{B}(n)$ iff the unital linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi: \operatorname{span}\left\{I, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{g}\right\} & \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}^{s a}(\mathbb{C}) \\
A_{i} & \mapsto B_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

is n-positive.

Sketch of the proof of the main theorem:

- Level 1: the extremal points of $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1)$ are $\pm e_{i}$
- The inclusion $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2 E-।}$ holds iff the unital map $\Phi: I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \operatorname{diag}(1,-1) \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \mapsto 2 E_{i}-I_{d}$ is $C P$
- Arveson's extension theorem [Pau02, Theorem 6.2]: $\Phi$ has a (completely) positive extension $\tilde{\phi}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2^{g}}$
- $C_{\varepsilon}:=\tilde{\Phi}(\varepsilon)$ is a joint POVM for the $E_{i}$ 's, where $\{\varepsilon\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2^{g}}$


## Maximally incompatible quantum effects

## Lemma ([New32, Hru16])

For $d=2^{k}$, there exist $2 k+1$ anti-commuting, self-adjoint, unitary matrices $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{2 k+1} \in \mathcal{U}_{d}$. Moreover, $2^{k}$ is the smallest dimension where such a $(2 k+1)$-tuple exists.

- For $k=0$, take $F_{1}^{(0)}:=[1]$
- For $k \geq 1$, define $F_{i}^{(k+1)}=\sigma_{X} \otimes F_{i}^{(k)} \forall i \in[2 k+1]$ and $F_{2 k+2}^{(k+1)}=\sigma_{Y} \otimes I_{2^{k}}, F_{2 k+3}^{(k+1)}=\sigma_{Z} \otimes I_{2^{k}}$
- These matrices satisfy, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{g},\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{g} x_{i} F_{i}\right\|_{\infty}=\|x\|_{2}$, and $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{g} x_{i} \bar{F}_{i} \otimes F_{i}\right\|_{\infty}=\|x\|_{1}$
- For $d$ large enough, the maximally incompatible $g$-tuple of quantum effects in $\mathcal{M}_{d}$ is given by $E_{i}=\left(F_{i}+I_{d}\right) / 2$


## The take-home slide

## Measurement compatibility in QM $\Longleftrightarrow$ matrix diamond inclusion

- A measurement in QM (POVM): $A_{i} \in \mathcal{M}_{d}(\mathbb{C}), A_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i} A_{i}=I_{d}$
- Measurements $\left(A_{i}\right),\left(B_{j}\right)$ are compatible if there exists a joint measurement ( $C_{i j}$ ) having marginals $A, B: A_{i}=\sum_{j} C_{i j}$ and $B_{j}=\sum_{i} C_{i j}$
- Free spectrahedra: $\mathcal{D}_{A}:=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}^{\text {sa }}\right)^{g}: \sum_{i=1}^{g} X_{i} \otimes A_{i} \leq I_{n d}\right\}$
- Matrix diamond: $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X \in \mathcal{M}_{n}^{g}: \sum_{i=1}^{g} \varepsilon_{i} X_{i} \leq I_{n}, \forall \varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}^{g}\right\}$


## Theorem

Let $E \in\left(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{\text {sa }}\right)^{g}$ be $g$-tuple of selfadjoint matrices. Then:

$$
E \text { are quantum effects } \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2 E-I}(1)
$$

$E$ are compatible quantum effects $\Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\diamond, g} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{2 E-1}$
For all $g, d$, compatibility region $\Gamma(g, d)=\Delta(g, d)$ inclusion constants.
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