## Measurement incompatibility vs. Bell non-locality

Ion Nechita (LPT Toulouse), joint work with Faedi Loulidi

NanoX-FeRMI days, March 10th 2022


## Outline

## Quantum incompatibility

There exist quantum observables which cannot be measured simultaneously
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for certain Bell inequalities

## Bell non-locality

The quantum value of certain correlation inequalities is strictly larger than the classical value
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We relate, in a quantitative manner, the incompatibility of $N$ quantum measurements to the largest violation of a given Bell inequality, when one party uses the $N$ measurements.

## Measurement compatibility

## Quantum measurements

- In quantum mechanics, the measurement postulate describes the outcome probabilities and the posterior state when measuring an observable $X$ on a quantum state described by a dimensional density operator $\rho$.
- Outcome probabilities are given by the Born rule: $\mathbb{P}[$ outcome $i]=\operatorname{Tr} \rho P_{i}$, where $P_{i}$ are the eigenprojections of the observable $X$.
- Allowing for more general measurement scenarios (interaction with an ancilla system), one can describe measurement outcomes in the POVM (Positive-Operator-Valued Measure) framework [NC10, Wat18]:

$$
\mathbb{P}[\text { outcome } i]=\operatorname{Tr} \rho A_{i},
$$

where $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ are positive semi-definite matrices s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}=I_{d}$.


A particle enters a measurement apparatus described by a POVM $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$. The measurement yields outcome $i=2$, with prob. $\operatorname{Tr} \rho A_{2}$.

## Measurement (in-)compatibility

- In quantum mechanics, there exist incompatible quantum measurements, i.e. measurements that cannot be simultaneously performed on a single copy of the system.
- In the general framework of POVMs, two measurements $A, B$ are said to be compatible [HMZ16] if there exists a third measurement $C=\left(C_{i j}\right)$ such that $A$ and $B$ are the marginals of $C$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\forall i=1, \ldots, k, & A_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{l} C_{i j} \\
\forall j=1, \ldots, l, & B_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{i j}
\end{array}
$$

- Projective measurements are compatible if and only if they commute:

$$
C_{i j}=A_{i} B_{j}=\sqrt{A_{i}} B_{j} \sqrt{A_{i}}=\sqrt{B_{j}} A_{i} \sqrt{B_{j}}
$$

- In many scenarios in quantum information theory, measurement incompatibility is a necessary ingredient to obtain non-classical effects.


## Norm characterization

Dichotomic POVMs ( $A_{1}, A_{2}$ ) correspond to measurements with two possible outcomes: YES / NO measurements. To such a POVM, we associate a measurement operator $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \mapsto A:=A_{1}-A_{2}$ with -
 $I_{d} \leq A \leq I_{d}$.

## Theorem ([BJN20])

A tuple $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}|i\rangle \otimes A_{i}$ of measurement operators corresponds to compatible POVMs $\Longleftrightarrow\|A\|_{c} \leq 1$, with

$$
\|A\|_{c}:=\inf \left\{\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{K} H_{j}\right\|_{\infty}: A=\sum_{j=1}^{K} z_{j} \otimes H_{j} \text {, s.t. }\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \text { and } H_{j} \geq 0\right\}
$$

where $z_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $H_{j} \in \mathcal{M}_{d}^{\text {sa }}(\mathbb{C}) ;\|\cdot\|_{c}$ is called the compatibility norm.

- As an example, consider noisy measurements in the Pauli bases: $A_{X}=x \sigma_{X}$, $A_{Y}=y \sigma_{Y}, A_{Z}=z \sigma_{Z}$. The compatibility norm reads in this case $\left\|\left(A_{X}, A_{Y}, A_{Z}\right)\right\|_{c}=\|(x, y, z)\|_{2}[$ Bus86 $]$.

Bell inequalities

## Aspect's experiment shows a violation of the Bell inequality



## Non-local games



Game payoff : $\quad S=\sum_{x, y, a, b} V(a, b, x, y) \cdot \mathbb{P}(a, b \mid x, y)$

## Bell's inequality as a non-local game

- The type of answers Alice and Bob can give depend on their resources:
- Classical strategies: with shared randomness $\lambda \sim \mu$, the players answer randomly given the local knowledge

$$
\mathbb{P}(a, b \mid x, y)=\int_{\Lambda} \mathbb{P}_{A}(a \mid x, \lambda) \cdot \mathbb{P}_{B}(b \mid y, \lambda) \mathrm{d} \mu(\lambda)
$$

- Quantum strategies: with a shared quantum bipartite state $\psi$, the players perform local POVMs

$$
\mathbb{P}(a, b \mid x, y)=\langle\psi| A_{x}^{a} \otimes B_{y}^{b}|\psi\rangle
$$

- Correlation games: $V(a, b, x, y)=M_{x y} \cdot a b$ for some matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$.
- The CHSH game [CHSH69]: questions $\{x, y\} \in\{1,2\}$, answers $\{a, b\} \in\{-1,1\}$

$$
S=\left\langle a_{1} b_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle a_{1} b_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle a_{2} b_{1}\right\rangle-\left\langle a_{2} b_{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{x, y=1}^{2} M_{x, y}\left\langle a_{x}, b_{y}\right\rangle
$$

with $\left\langle a_{x} b_{y}\right\rangle=\sum_{a, b= \pm 1} a b \cdot \mathbb{P}(a, b \mid x, y)$ and $M_{\mathrm{CHSH}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right]$.
Bell's theorem [Bel64, Tsi87]: quantum theory violates the CHSH inequality

$$
\sup \{S: \mathbb{P} \text { quantum }\}=2 \sqrt{2}>2=\sup \{S: \mathbb{P} \text { classical }\}
$$

## Norm characterization

Consider an $N$-input, 2-outcome game $M \in \mathcal{M}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$, and assume that
Alice's measurement operators $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)$ are fixed.

## Definition

For a $N$-tuple of measurement operators on Alice's side $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)$, the largest quantum value of the game $M$ defines a tensor norm

$$
\|A\| M:=\sup _{\|\psi\|=1\left\|B_{y}\right\| \leq 1} \sup _{\|}\langle\psi| \sum_{x, y=1}^{N} M_{x y} A_{x} \otimes B_{y}|\psi\rangle=\lambda_{\max }\left[\sum_{y=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{x=1}^{N} M_{x, y} A_{x}\right|\right]
$$

Alice's measurements are called $M$-Bell-local if for any choice of Bob's observables $B$ and for any shared state $\psi$, one cannot violate the Bell inequality corresponding to $M$ :

$$
\sup \left\{S_{M}: \mathbb{P} \text { quantum }\right\}=:\|A\|_{M} \leq \omega(M):=\sup \left\{S_{M}: \mathbb{P} \text { classical }\right\}
$$

Otherwise, they are called $M$-Bell-non-local.

Main results

## Relating incompatibility to non-locality

It is known [WPGF09] that two measurements $A_{1}=\left(A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}\right)$and $A_{2}=\left(A_{2}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right)$ violate the CHSH inequality if and only if they are incompatible.

We have introduced quantitative measures of non-locality and incompatibility: a $N$-tuple of dichotomic measurements $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)$ is

- compatible $\Longleftrightarrow\|A\|_{c} \leq 1$, with

$$
\|A\|_{c}=\inf \left\{\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{K} H_{j}\right\|_{\infty}: A=\sum_{j=1}^{K} z_{j} \otimes H_{j}, \text { s.t. }\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \text { and } H_{j} \geq 0\right\}
$$

- not violating the Bell inequality $M \Longleftrightarrow\|A\|_{M} \leq \omega(M)$, with

$$
\|A\|_{M}=\sup _{\|\psi\|=1\left\|B_{y}\right\| \leq 1} \sup \langle\psi| \sum_{x, y=1}^{N} M_{x y} A_{x} \otimes B_{y}|\psi\rangle=\lambda_{\max }\left[\sum_{y=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{x=1}^{N} M_{x, y} A_{x}\right|\right]
$$

Theorem ([Loulidi-Nechita, soon on the arXive])
For all invertible games $M$ and measurements $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)$, it holds

$$
\omega(M)^{-1} \cdot\|A\|_{M} \leq\|A\|_{c} \leq\|A\|_{M} \cdot \max \left\{\left|\left(M^{-1}\right)_{x, y}\right|\right\}_{x, y=1}^{N}
$$

## Relating incompatibility to Bell non-locality

- For the CHSH game $(N=2)$, the two norms are equal (for any Hilbert space dimension d):

$$
\|A\|_{c}=\|A\|_{M_{C H S H}}
$$

- The above is a quantitative restatement of [WPGFog].
- How about other Bell inequalities in more general scenarios?

Theorem ([Loulidi-Nechita, soon on the arXive])
For all invertible non-local games $M \in \mathcal{M}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\omega(M) \cdot \max \left\{\left|\left(M^{-1}\right)_{x, y}\right|\right\}_{x, y=1}^{N} \geq 1
$$

with equality if and only if $N=2$ and $M$ is a permutation of $M_{\text {CHSH }}$.

In other words, the CHSH Bell inequality is essentially the only one which characterizes measurement incompatibility in the scenario where Alice's measurements are fixed.

## Take home message

- Measurement compatibility (for dichotomic POVMs) can be characterized by a norm $\|\cdot\|_{c}$

$$
\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right) \text { compatible } \Longleftrightarrow\left\|\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)\right\|_{c} \leq 1
$$

- For a $N$-input, 2-output non-local game $M$, the maximum value that can be obtained when Alice's measurements are fixed is given by the norm

$$
\|A\|_{M}=\sup _{\|\psi\|=1\left\|B_{y}\right\| \leq 1} \sup \langle\psi| \sum_{x, y=1}^{N} M_{x y} A_{x} \otimes B_{y}|\psi\rangle
$$

- Bell inequality violations require incompatibility: $\|A\|_{M} \leq\|A\|_{c} \cdot \omega(M)$
- The reverse inequality holds, up to a constant: $\|A\|_{c} \leq\|A\|_{M} \cdot \max \left|\left(M^{-1}\right)_{x, y}\right|$
- The CHSH Bell inequality (and its permutations) is the only one for which measurement incompatibility $\Longleftrightarrow$ Bell non-locality:

$$
\omega(M) \cdot \max \left|\left(M^{-1}\right)_{x, y}\right|=1 \Longrightarrow M \cong M_{\text {CHSH }}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Open question: measurements with $\geq 3$ outcomes?
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