# Operator - valued free probability and tensor flattenings 

## a numerical experiment

$\ln [433]:=$
ClearAll["Global`*"]
$\ln [434]$ ]=
d = 3000;
diagP = DiagonalMatrix[1.0 * Table[RandomInteger[\{0, 1\}], d]];
Histogram[Eigenvalues[diagP], \{0.11\}, "Probability",
PlotLabel $\rightarrow$ "Eigenvalues of a random diagonal projection"]
Out[436]=
Eigenvalues of a random diagonal projection

$\ln [437]:=$

```
UP = RandomVariate[CircularUnitaryMatrixDistribution[d]];
matP = UP.diagP.ConjugateTranspose[UP];
Histogram[Eigenvalues[matP] // Chop, {0.11},
    "Probability", PlotLabel }->\mathrm{ "Eigenvalues of a random projection"]
```

Out[439]=

Eigenvalues of a random projection


## Sum of two random diagonal projectors

## $\ln [440]:=$

```
diagQ = DiagonalMatrix[1.0 * Table[RandomInteger[{0, 1}], d]];
sumClassical = diagP + diagQ;
Histogram[Eigenvalues[sumClassical] // Chop, {0.11},
    "Probability", PlotLabel }->\mathrm{ "Sum of two random diagonal projections"]
```

Sum of two random diagonal projections


## Sum of two random projectors

$\ln [443]:=$

```
UQ = RandomVariate[CircularUnitaryMatrixDistribution[d]];
matQ = UQ.diagQ.ConjugateTranspose[UQ];
sumFree = matP + matQ;
Show[Histogram[Eigenvalues[sumFree] // Chop, 20,
    "PDF", PlotLabel }->\mathrm{ "Sum of two random diagonal projections",
    PlotRange }->\mathrm{ {{-0.1, 2.1}, Automatic}], Plot[1/ (Pi Sqrt[x (2-x)]),
    {x, 0, 2}, PlotStyle }->\mathrm{ {Thick}, PlotRange }->\mathrm{ {Automatic, {-0.1, 1.3}}]]
```

Sum of two random diagonal projections


Above, the curve in blue is the probability density function of the arcsine distribution given by

$$
\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x(2-x)}} 1_{(0,2)}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

## Voiculescu's free probability theory

Theorem. Independent, unitarily invariant random matrices are asymptotically free.

In the examples above, the (diagonal or not) random projections have limiting distribution

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}
$$

When summing the diagonal projections, we obtain the classical additive convolution

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}\right) *\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}+\frac{1}{4} \delta_{2}
$$

When summing the randomly rotated projections, we obtain the free additive convolution

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x(2-x)}} \mathbf{1}_{(0,2)}(x) \mathrm{dx}
$$

## Unitary invariance hypothesis

We shall now consider the following two block matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{P}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathrm{Q} \\
\mathrm{Q} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly，they are independent，but not unitarily invariant（although $P$ and $Q$ are）．The first one converges，as $d \rightarrow \infty$ ，to $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}$ while the second one converges to $\frac{1}{4} \delta_{-1}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \delta_{1}$ ．We consider their their sum

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P & Q \\
Q & P
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\ln [447]:=$
blockP＝ConstantArray［0，\｛2d， 2 d$\}$ ］；
blockP【1 ；；d， 1 ；；d】＝matP；
blockP $\llbracket d+1$ ；； $2 d, d+1 ; ; 2 d \rrbracket=$ matP；
blockQ＝ConstantArray［0，\｛2d， 2 d$\}$ ］；
blockQ $\mathbb{d}+1$ ；； $2 \mathrm{~d}, 1$ ；；d】＝matQ；
blockQ 1 ；；d，d＋ 1 ；； $2 d \rrbracket=$ matQ；
X＝blockP＋blockQ；
Histogram［Eigenvalues［X］／／Chop，20，＂PDF＂， PlotLabel $\rightarrow$＂Sum of two random，not unitarily invariant，block matrices＂］

Sum of two random，not unitarily invariant，block matrices


Note，however，that if we rotate the second matrix by a random unitary matrix of full size $(2 d)$

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P & 0 \\
0 & P
\end{array}\right)+U\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & Q \\
Q & 0
\end{array}\right) U^{\star}
$$

we obtain a different eigenvalue density

```
largeU = RandomVariate[CircularUnitaryMatrixDistribution[2 d]];
blockQUI = largeU.blockQ.ConjugateTranspose[largeU];
Y = blockP + blockQUI;
Histogram[Eigenvalues[Y] // Chop, 20, "PDF",
    PlotLabel }->\mathrm{ "Sum of two random, unitarily invariant, block matrices"]
```

Sum of two random, unitarily invariant, block matrices


Above, the summands satisfy the assumptions of Voiculescu's theorem, to the limiting probability distribution is a free additive convolution:

$$
\mu=\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\frac{1}{4} \delta_{-1}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \delta_{1}\right)
$$

The last two plots are visually different, the limiting distributions are not identical. This can also be seen by looking at the following mixed moments which differ in the two situations
$1 /(2 d) \operatorname{Tr}[b l o c k P . b l o c k Q . b l o c k P . b l o c k Q] / / N / / C h o p$
$1 /(2 d) \operatorname{Tr}[b l o c k P . b l o c k Q U I . b l o c k P . b l o c k Q U I] / / N / / C h o p$


In the first situation, the random matrices are not unitarily invariant, and one cannot apply Voiculescu's theorem. As it turns out, they are not asymptotically free, but only operator-valued asymptotically free. This is the topic of the first lecture, introducing the basics of operator-valued free probability and the applications to block random matrices.

## Independence hypothesis

Recall that $P=U \operatorname{diag}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}\right) U^{*}$ is a random, unitarily invariant, projection of rank $d / 2$. The matrices $P$ and $P^{T}$ are clearly not independent, since they have the same entries, up to permutation. However, Mingo and Popa have shown the following result.

Theorem. A unitarily invariant random matrix is asymptotically free from its transpose.
$\ln [461]:=$

```
sumTranspose = matP + Transpose [matP];
Show[Histogram[Eigenvalues[sumTranspose] // Chop, 20, "PDF",
PlotLabel \(\rightarrow\) "Sum of a random projection and its transpose",
PlotRange \(\rightarrow\{\{-0.1,2.1\}\), Automatic \(\}\), Plot[1/(Pi Sqrt[x (2-x)]),
\(\{x, 0,2\}\), PlotStyle \(\rightarrow\{\) Thick, PlotRange \(\rightarrow\{\) Automatic, \{-0.1, 1.3\}\}]]
```

Out[462]=
Sum of a random projection and its transpose


So asymptotic freeness can hold even in the absence of the independence condition. We shall explore this phenomenon during the second lecture, when we shall generalize Mingo and Popa's result to flattenings of random tensors.

LECTURE I - Introduction to operator-valued free probability

Definition A (scalar) non-commutotive probability space is a pair $(A, \varphi)$ where $A$ is a $*$-algebra and $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a unital linear form.
$A_{n}$ operator-volued nc. prob. space is a triple $(A, B, E)$, where $1 \in B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ are unital - algebras and $E: A \rightarrow B$ is a linear map, called conditional expectation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \mathbb{E}(1)=1 \\
& \text { - } \mathbb{E}\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b \mathbb{E}(a) b^{\prime} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, b, b^{\prime} \in B
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition Subalgebras $B \subseteq A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \subseteq C A$ are called $B$-free if

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{a}_{1} & \bar{a}_{2} & \cdots
\end{array} \bar{a}_{r}\right)=0
$$

whenever $a_{i} \in A_{j(i)}$ with $j(1) \neq j(2) \neq \ldots \neq j(r)$
(for centered $\vec{a}:=a-\mathbb{E}(a) \cdot 1$ )
Example Let $(c A, \varphi), \varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a usual $\mathbb{C}$ - ne probability space. Consider 3 different operahor-valued nc prob spaces for $M_{n}(c A) \simeq M_{n}(\mathbb{C})_{\otimes} A:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \mathbb{E}_{1}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in[n]}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \varphi\left(a_{i i}\right) \cdot I_{n} \in \mathbb{C} I_{n} \simeq \mathbb{C} \\
& \mathbb{E}_{1}: M_{n}(c A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} I_{m} \\
& \text { - } \mathbb{E}_{2}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)=\left(\delta_{i j} \varphi\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)_{i, j \in[n} \in \begin{array}{c}
\text { diagonal } \\
a \times n \text { ma } \\
\in D_{n}^{\alpha}
\end{array} \\
& \mathbb{E}_{2}: M_{n}(A) \rightarrow D_{n} \\
& \text { - } \mathbb{E}_{3}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)=\left(\varphi\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)_{i j \in(n)} \\
& \mathbb{E}_{3}=i d_{n} \otimes \varphi: M_{n}(A) \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem if $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{l} \subseteq C A$ are free, then $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_{n}, \ldots, M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_{h}$ are $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$-free in $\left(M_{m}(A), M_{m}(C), \mathbb{E}_{3}\right)$
(but, in general, not $D_{m}$-free nor $\mathbb{C}$-free)
How to use (op-sol) freeness

- $x, y$ free, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x y)=\varphi((\bar{x}+\varphi(x) 1) \cdot(\bar{y}+\varphi(y) \cdot 1)) \\
& =\underset{\substack{\| \\
0 \\
\text { (freeness) }}}{\varphi(\bar{x} \bar{y})}+\underbrace{\varphi(\bar{x})}_{\substack{\| \\
0}} \varphi(y)+\varphi(x) \underbrace{\varphi(\bar{y})}_{\substack{\| \\
0}}+\varphi(x) \varphi \mid y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-free, then

$$
\varphi(x y x y)=\varphi\left(x^{2}\right) \varphi(y)^{2}+\varphi(x)^{2} \varphi\left(y^{2}\right)-\varphi(x)^{2} \varphi(y)^{2}
$$

Lo different than classical probability

- if $x, y \in A$ are $B$-free, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(x y x y) & =\mathbb{E}(x \mathbb{E}(y) x) \mathbb{E}(y)+ \\
& +\mathbb{E}(x) \mathbb{E}(y \mathbb{E}(x) y) \\
& -\mathbb{E}(x) \mathbb{E}(y) \mathbb{E}(x) \mathbb{E}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$C$ remember that $\mathbb{E}$ is $B$-valued so $\mathbb{E}(x), E(y)$ do not commute in general!

Bach to the example in the numerical experiment
Recall that $P_{d}, Q_{d}$ are indep, unitarily invariant projections of rank $d / 2$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p d, Q d) \underset{d \rightarrow \infty}{ }(p, q) \quad & p, q \text { free proj } \\
& \varphi(p)=\varphi(q)=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{P_{d}}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho_{d} & 0 \\
O & P_{d}
\end{array}\right), \widetilde{Q}_{d}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & Q_{d} \\
Q_{d} & 0
\end{array}\right) \in M(\mathbb{C})^{s a} \\
& \text { and } \quad \\
& \widetilde{R_{d}}:=U \cdot \widetilde{Q_{d}} U^{*} \text { for } U \in U(2 d) \\
& \text { Haar -distributed, } \\
& \text { independent of } P_{d}, Q_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we denote by $\mu_{x}:=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{i}}(x)$ the empirical
eigenvalue distribution of $a \operatorname{matrix} X$, then we have

$$
\mu \tilde{P}_{d} \underset{d+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1} \xrightarrow[\mu \tilde{R}_{d}]{\mu \tilde{Q}_{d \rightarrow \infty}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \delta_{-1}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \delta_{1}
$$

$\rightarrow$ note that $\tilde{P}_{d}=P \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\tilde{Q}_{d}=Q \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ So $\operatorname{spec}\left(\tilde{P}_{d}\right)=\operatorname{spec}\left(P_{d}\right)^{\times 2}$ and $\operatorname{spec}\left(\tilde{Q}_{d}\right)= \pm \operatorname{spec}\left(Q_{d}\right)$
Fact 1 By Voiculescn's theorem, $\tilde{P}_{d}$ and $\tilde{R}_{d}$ are asympt. $\mathbb{C}$-free, so:
$\frac{1}{2 d} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{P}_{d} \tilde{R}_{d} \tilde{P}_{d} \tilde{R}_{d}\right) \underset{d \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \varphi(p r p r)$ where $p, r$ are free elements with resp distributions

$$
p \sim \frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{1} \text { and } r \sim \frac{1}{4} \delta_{-1}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \delta_{1}
$$

By the previous result :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(p r p r) & =\underbrace{\varphi\left(p_{1 \prime}^{2}\right)}_{=\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{\varphi(r)^{2}}_{0_{0}^{\prime \prime}}+\underbrace{\varphi(p)^{2}}_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} \underbrace{\varphi\left(r^{2}\right)}_{\frac{1}{2}}-\underbrace{\varphi(p)^{2} \underbrace{\varphi(r)^{2}}_{0}}_{0} \\
& =\frac{1}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fact 2 By the operator - valued freeness result, $\tilde{P}_{d}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{d}$ are asymptotically $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ - free.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathbb{E}_{d}: & M_{2 d}\left(L^{\infty-}(\mathbb{P})\right)
\end{array}>M_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \quad l \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} A & \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} B \\
\frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} C & \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} D
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{d}\left(\tilde{P}_{d}\right) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathbb{E}_{d}\left(\tilde{Q}_{d}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the computation for op-valued freeness:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{d}\left(\tilde{P}_{d} \tilde{Q}_{d} \tilde{P}_{d} \tilde{Q}_{d}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(p q p q) \text { for } \\
& r_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \text { - free elements } p, q
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\mathbb{E}[p q p q]=\mathbb{E}[p \mathbb{E}[q) p] \mathbb{E}[q]$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
+\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(p)}_{\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \mathbb{E}[q \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(p)}_{\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)} q]
$$

$$
-\mathbb{E}(p) \mathbb{E}[q] \mathbb{E}[p) \mathbb{E}[q]
$$

Compute $\mathbb{E}\left[p \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \cdot p\right]=\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}P_{d} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{d}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & I_{d} \\ I_{d} & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}P_{d} & 0 \\ 0 & P_{d}\end{array}\right)$

$$
=\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & P_{d} \\
p_{d} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[p q p q]=\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{16}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\frac{3}{16}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \varphi(p q p q)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{E}(p q p q)=\frac{3}{16}
$$

This matches the numerial experiment.

LECTURE II - Flattening of random tensors
joint work with Camille Male and Stéphane Dartois, arXiv:2307.11439

1 Tensors and flattenings

- scalars $\mathbb{C} \quad N^{0}$ param
$-x$ vectors $x \in \mathbb{C}^{N} \quad N_{\text {pram. }}\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{N}$
$A$ - matrices $A \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C}) N^{2}$ param $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i j \in[N]}$
$\exists T$. 3 -tensor $T \in \mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N} N^{3}$ pram
$\left(T_{i j k}\right)_{i, j, k \in[N]}$
- $2 k$-tensor $M \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes 2 k}$


Flattening transforming a tensor into a matrix hard to study no eigenvalues multi-linear algebra easy cononical form linear algebra
$k=1$
-M has two flattenings: $M_{(1)(2),} M_{(12)} \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C})$

$$
-M_{(1)(L)}=-M \text { and }-M_{(1)(L)}=-M
$$

Using coordinates: $M_{(1)(2)}(i, j)=M_{i, j}$

$$
M_{(12)}(i, j)=M_{j, i}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad M_{(12)}=M_{(1)(2)}^{\top}
$$

General case

$$
M \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes 2 k}
$$



$$
\left.\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes 2 k} \partial M \curvearrowright \varnothing \sim M_{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma \in S_{2 k}} \subseteq M_{N^{k} \times N^{k}}^{5 a}(\sigma)
$$

tensor $\rightarrow$ its flattenings
random $M \rightarrow$ dependent random mat.
2. Model of random tensors and main result

Hypothesis 1.2. The tensor $M_{N} \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes 2 k}$ has i.i.d. entries distributed as a centered complex random variable $m_{N}$ having finite moments of all orders (ie. $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|m_{N}\right|^{\ell}\right]<\infty$ for all $\ell$ ). Moreover, the following limits exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{k} \times \mathbb{E}\left[\left|m_{N}\right|^{2}\right] \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} c>0, \quad N^{k} \times \mathbb{E}\left[m_{N}^{2}\right] \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all non-negative integers $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ such that $\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}>2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{k} \times \mathbb{E}\left[m_{N}^{\ell_{1}}{\overline{m_{N}}}^{\ell_{2}}\right] \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)$ the parameter of $M_{N}$.

1. Let $M_{N}$ be sampled according to the complex Ginibre ensemble, i.e. the entries of $\sqrt{N} M_{N}$ are distributed according to the standard complex Gaussian distribution. Then $M_{N}$ satisfies Hypothesis 1.2 and its parameter is $(1,0)$. A real Ginibre ensemble also satisfies the hypothsis with parameter $(1,1)$.

Example in the tensor case $\left(C=1, c^{\prime}=0\right)$ :

$$
N^{k / 2} \cdot M_{N}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{2 k}\right) \text { ind } \operatorname{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)
$$

The main theorem
Theorem 2.15. Let $M_{N}$ be a random tensor satisfying Hypothesis 1.2 with parameter $\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)$. Then the collection of flattenings of $M_{N}$ converges in $\mathfrak{S}_{k}^{*}$ distribution to a centered $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-circular family $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 k}}$, in some space $\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}_{k}, \mathcal{E}\right)$. For all $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$, we denote $\eta \sqcup \eta^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 k}$ the permutation obtained by gluing the actions of $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ on the first $k$ and the last $k$ elements of [2k]; it is formally defined by

$$
\left(\eta \sqcup \eta^{\prime}\right)(i):= \begin{cases}\eta(i) & \text { if } i \in[k]  \tag{2.8}\\ \eta^{\prime}(i-k)+k & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

We also denote by $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 k}$ the permutation swapping the first and the last $k$ elements of $[2 k]$; it is given by

$$
\tau(i):\left\{\begin{array}{clc}
i \in[k] & \mapsto & i+k \in[2 k] \backslash[k] \\
i \in[2 k] \backslash[k] & \mapsto & i-k \in[k]
\end{array}\right.
$$

The $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-covariance of $\mathbf{m}$ is given by the following equalities: $\forall \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 k}, \forall \eta \epsilon$ $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{E}\left(m_{\sigma} u_{\eta} m_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{*}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
c u_{\eta^{\prime}} & \text { if } \exists \eta^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \text { s.t. } \sigma=\left(\eta^{\prime} \sqcup \eta\right) \sigma^{\prime} \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
\mathcal{E}\left(m_{\sigma}^{*} u_{\eta} m_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
c u_{\eta^{\prime}} & \text { if } \exists \eta^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \text { s.t. } \sigma=\left(\eta \sqcup \eta^{\prime}\right) \sigma^{\prime} \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{2.10}\\
\mathcal{E}\left(m_{\sigma} u_{\eta} m_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
c^{\prime} u_{\eta^{\prime}} & \text { if } \exists \eta^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \text { s.t. } \sigma=\tau\left(\eta \sqcup \eta^{\prime}\right) \sigma^{\prime} \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$



Corollary 1.5. Let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Let $M_{N}$ be a random tensor satisfying Hypothesis 1.2 with parameter $\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)$. We consider the three following random matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1, N}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 k)!k!c}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_{2 k}} M_{N, \sigma}, \quad S_{2, N}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 k)!k!c}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_{2 k}} \operatorname{sg}(\sigma) M_{N, \sigma} \\
S_{3, N}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{(2 k)!k!\left(c+\Re c^{\prime}\right)}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 k}}\left(M_{N, \sigma}+M_{N, \sigma}^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\operatorname{sg}(\sigma)$ is the signature of the permutation $\sigma$. We denote by $\delta_{0}$ the Dirac mass at zero, by MP the Marchenko-Pastur distribution of shape parameter $\lambda=1$ and variance 1 , and by SC the standard semicircular distribution.

1. The empirical eigenvalues distribution of $S_{1, N} S_{1, N}^{*}$ and $S_{2, N} S_{2, N}^{*}$ converge to the distribution $\left(1-k!^{-1}\right) \delta_{0}+k!^{-1} \mathrm{MP}$.
2. The empirical eigenvalues distribution of $S_{3, N}$ converges to the distribution $\left(1-k!^{-1}\right) \delta_{0}+k!^{-1}$ SC.

Definition 2.2. 1. For any $\eta \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$, let $U_{N, \eta}$ be the unitary matrix of size $N^{k}$ whose action on a simple tensor $v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k} \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes k}$ is

$$
U_{N, \eta}\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right):=v_{\eta(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\eta(k)} .
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{C}_{N, k}$ the vector space spanned by all the matrices $U_{N, \eta}$ for $\eta$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.
2. Recalling the notation $\Phi_{N}=\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \cdot\right]$, let $\mathcal{E}_{N}$ be the linear map defined, for any random matrix $A_{N} \in \mathrm{M}_{N^{k}}(\mathbb{C})$, by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N}\left(A_{N}\right)=\sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}} \Phi_{N}\left[A_{N} U_{N, \eta}^{*}\right] U_{N, \eta} \in \mathbb{C}_{N, k}
$$

## 3. Convergence in distribution and circular families

Definition 2.2. 1. For any $\eta \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$, let $U_{N, \eta}$ be the unitary matrix of size $N^{k}$ whose action on a simple tensor $v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k} \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)^{\otimes k}$ is

$$
U_{N, \eta}\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right):=v_{\eta(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\eta(k)} .
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{C}_{N, k}$ the vector space spanned by all the matrices $U_{N, \eta}$ for $\eta$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$.
2. Recalling the notation $\Phi_{N}=\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \cdot\right]$, let $\mathcal{E}_{N}$ be the linear map defined, for any random matrix $A_{N} \in \mathrm{M}_{N^{k}}(\mathbb{C})$, by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N}\left(A_{N}\right)=\sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}} \Phi_{N}\left[A_{N} U_{N, \eta}^{*}\right] U_{N, \eta} \in \mathbb{C S}_{N, k} .
$$

Definition-Proposition 2.9. Given any sequence $\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of linear maps $\mathcal{M}_{n}: \mathcal{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C S}_{k}$, we define canonically the collection $\left(\mathcal{M}_{\xi}\right)_{\xi \in \mathrm{NC}}$ as follows. Let $\xi$ be a non-crossing partition of $[n]$. There always exists an interval block $B=\left\{i, i+1, \ldots, i+n^{\prime}-1\right\}$ of $\xi$, for some $i \in[n]$ and $n^{\prime} \in[n-i+1]$. Assume that $B$ is the interval block of smallest indices, namely $i=\min \{j \in$ $[n] \mid \exists m^{\prime} \in[n]$ s.t. $\left.\left\{j, j+1 \cdots, j+m^{\prime}-1\right\} \in \xi\right\}$. Then for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, we set, inductively on $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{\xi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{M}_{\xi \backslash B}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1} \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}}\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{i+n^{\prime}-1}\right), a_{i+n^{\prime}}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi \backslash B \in \mathrm{NC}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained by removing the block $B$ and shifting the indices greater than $i+n^{\prime}-1$, with the convention $\mathcal{M}_{\{\emptyset\}}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{C}_{k}}$. This relation entirely characterizes the collection $\left(\mathcal{M}_{\xi}\right)_{\xi \in \mathrm{NC}}$ in terms of $\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$.
Remark 2.10. For $k=1$, one has $\mathbb{C S}_{1}=\mathbb{C}$ and the functions $\mathcal{M}_{\xi}$ satisfy a trivial factorization,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\xi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\prod_{B=\left\{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n^{\prime}}\right\} \in \xi} \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}}\left(a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{n^{\prime}}}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (2.6) is not valid for $k \geq 2$ if the maps $\mathcal{M}_{\xi}$ are not $\mathbb{C}_{k}$-linear, e.g. $\mathcal{M}_{2}\left(a b, a^{\prime}\right) \neq \mathcal{M}_{2}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) b$ for some $a, a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathbb{C}_{k}$. The general definition of $\mathcal{M}_{\xi}$ depends on the nesting structure of the blocks of $\xi$.

Definition-Proposition 2.11. The $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-free cumulants on $\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}_{k}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ are the unique collection $\left(\mathcal{K}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of linear maps $\mathcal{K}_{n}: \mathcal{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ such that, for all $n \geq 1$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $\mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)=\sum_{\xi \in \mathrm{NC}(n)} \mathcal{K}_{\xi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) .
$$

Each $\mathcal{K}_{\xi}$ is a $\mathbb{C S}_{k}$-module map, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{\xi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i} b, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) & =\mathcal{K}_{\xi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i}, b a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \\
\mathcal{K}_{\xi}\left(b a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n} b^{\prime}\right) & =b \mathcal{K}_{\xi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) b^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.12. Let $\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}_{k}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ be a $\mathfrak{S}_{k}^{*}$-probability space.

1. A collection $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{j}\right)_{j \in J}$ of elements in $\mathcal{A}$ is $\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{k} \text {-circular whenever }}$ the following $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-cumulants of order greater than two vanish:

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}\left(m_{j_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} b_{1}, m_{j_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{2}} b_{2}, \cdots, m_{j_{L}}^{\varepsilon_{L}} b_{L}\right)=0
$$

for all $L \geq 3$ and all $j_{\ell} \in J, \varepsilon_{\ell} \in\{1, *\}, b_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}_{k}$ with $\ell \in[L]$.
2. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be ensembles of elements of $\mathcal{A}$. The $A_{i}$ 's are free over $\underline{\mathfrak{S}_{k}}$ (or $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-free) if and only if the mixed $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-cumulants vanish:

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}\left(m_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} b_{1}, m_{2}^{\epsilon_{2}} b_{2}, \ldots, m_{L}^{\epsilon_{L}} b_{L}\right)=0
$$

for all $\varepsilon_{\ell} \in\{1, *\}, b_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}_{k}, \ell \in[L]$, and for all $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{L}$ in the union $A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{n}$ but not all in a single set $A_{i}$ (i.e. $\exists \ell \neq \ell^{\prime}$ such that $m_{\ell} \in A_{i}, m_{\ell^{\prime}} \in A_{i^{\prime}}$ and $\left.i \neq i^{\prime}\right)$.

Circular families
Main intuition: if $X_{n}^{(N)}, \ldots, X_{r}^{(N)} \in M_{N}(\mathbb{C})$ are lid Ginibre random matrices, then aid $\mathcal{W}_{C}(0,1)$ entries

$$
\left(X_{1}^{(N)}, X_{r}^{(N)}\right) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\text { distr }}(\underbrace{c_{1},-, c_{r}})
$$

free circulont element
A standard circulant element:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(c)=\varphi\left(c^{*}\right)=0 \\
& \varphi\left(c^{2}\right)=\varphi\left(\left(c^{*}\right)^{2}\right)=0 \quad \varphi\left(c c^{*}\right)=\varphi\left(c^{*} c\right)=1 \\
& k_{p}\left(c^{\varepsilon_{1}}, c^{\varepsilon_{2}}, \ldots, c^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right)=0 \quad \forall p \geqslant 3 \text { and } \varepsilon_{i}=1, *
\end{aligned}
$$

## Scalar-free sub-families (generalizing the Mingo-Popa transpose freeness result)

Corollary 2.17. Let $M_{N}$ be a random tensor satisfying Hypothesis 1.2 with parameter $\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)$.

1. If $c^{\prime}=0$, then the flattenings of $M_{N}$ indexed by different $\mathfrak{S}_{k, k}$-cosets are asymptotically $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-free. (and also $\mathbb{C}$-free)
2. If $c^{\prime} \neq 0$, then the flattenings of $M_{N}$ indexed by different $\mathfrak{S}_{k, k} \rtimes\langle\tau\rangle$ cosets are asymptotically $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$-free.

In each case, different flattenings belonging to the same coset are not $\mathfrak{S}_{k^{-}}$ free.

